

MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

EIGHTH DAY

Thursday, 21st January 1954

The House met in the Assembly Hall, Old Public Offices, Bangalore, at Thirty Minutes past Eight of the Clock.

MR. SPEAKER (Sri H. SIDDAIYA, B.A., LL.B.) in the Chair.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

Maternity Home in Bagepally Town.

Q.—97. Sri B. V. NARAYANA REDDY (Bagepally-Gudibanda).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

whether they are aware of the necessity to open a Maternity Home in Bagepally town; if so, when they intend to open the same?

A.—Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).

Yes. Action is being taken to open a Maternity ward as early as possible.

Sri B. V. NARAYANA REDDY.—At what stage is it now?

*Sri T. CHANNIAH.—An estimate for Rs. 5,890-0-0 has been prepared and it has been approved. The work order has been entrusted to the Executive Engineer and he is going to take it up very soon.

Sri B. V. NARAYANA REDDY.—When was the work order given, Sir,

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—7th December 1953.

Alleged irregularities in the Mysore Municipal Elections.

Q.—134. Sri B. NARAYANA SWAMY (Mysore City South).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the number of complaints received by them as to the several

irregularities in the recent Mysore City Municipal Elections held on 7th December 1953; if so, what is the nature of complaints so received;

(b) whether the Returning Officer or Polling Officer also has received complaints as to the several irregularities lodged by the candidate or voters in several booths in Mysore City; if so what is the nature of irregularities complained and what is the action taken by the concerned officers;

(c) whether it has come to their notice that rules regarding the conduct of elections under the Representation of the People Act were not followed in the Municipal Election held under Adult Suffrage system of voting;

(d) why the rules under the Representation of the People Act were not incorporated in the rules for the City Municipal Elections?

A.—Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

(a) Two petitions from candidates.

Sri P. D. Krishnaswamy—Closure of a polling booth at 11-30 A.M.

Sri Ahmed Khan, regarding false personation of voters.

(b) Yes. Complaints were received from candidates. The nature of the complaints made to the Returning Officer is as follows—

1. Complaint of Sri B. Lingappa, candidate for Division No. 23 :—

(i) Canvassing by the rival candidate within the prohibited area;

*Asterisk indicates that the speech or remark has not been revised by the Member concerned.

(SRI T. CHANNIAH.)

(ii) Use of loud-speakers in the vicinity; and

(iii) Presence of unconcerned people in the compound.

2. Sri S. M. Siddaiya, candidate for Division No. 36 complaining that buses were engaged to bring the voters to the booths.

3. Sri M. J. Mohamed Yakub, candidate for Division No. 29 complaining that the opposite parties took away the identification cards issued to the voters by the Municipality.

4. Sri A. N. Suryanarayana Rao.—The complaint was presented at 5-30 P.M. on the day of election stating that people voted in the wrong booth and some voted twice, etc.

5. Sri T. N. Subba Rao, Lawyer and Sri S. Gopala Sastry, candidates for Division No. 5 complaining that some voters entered from back side door of the polling booth.

6. Sri M. V. Vaggappa, candidate for Division No. 20 complaining against Sri U. L. Ramachandra Rao regarding false personation of voters, etc.

All the complaints were enquired into then and there during the rounds of the Returning Officer and also other officers of the Municipality deputed to keep in touch with the various polling booths, and suitable instructions were issued to the Polling Officers or the staff to see that the polling went on without any omission of the legal formalities and at the same time without giving scope for irregularities. Action was taken as soon as any complaint was received.

(c) Elections were conducted under the Mysore City Municipalities Election Rules, 1952.

(d) It was considered unnecessary in view of the existing rules.

The question will be examined if it is found that defects exist in the present system.

Sri B. NARAYANASWAMY.—I have no supplementaries.

Relief to the Handloom Weavers.

Q.—103. Sri G. NARAYANA GOWDA (Mulbagal—Srinivasapur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

whether they have received financial aid from the Central Government to give relief to the hand-loom weavers in the State?

A.—Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—

Yes.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ನಾರಾಯಣಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಕೈಮಗ್ಗ ದವರಿಗೆ ಕೇಂದ್ರದಿಂದ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಪರಿಹಾರ ದ್ರವ್ಯ ಎಷ್ಟು, ಸ್ವಾಮಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ನಿಧೇಶ್ವರಪ್ಪ. 7,69,900 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಮೊದಲು ಬಂದಿತ್ತು. ಈಗ ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಸೇರಿದಮೇಲೆ 2,52,685 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಬಂದಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ನಾರಾಯಣಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಪರಿಹಾರ ದ್ರವ್ಯ ವನ್ನು ಯಾವರೀತಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಯೋಚನೆಮಾಡಿ ದ್ದೀರಿ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—We have drawn up several schemes. ಅದು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಇದೆ: 35,000 ಜನ ಹ್ಯಾಂಡ್‌ಲೂಮ್ ಎಲೆವೆನ್ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರಿಗಿಲ್ಲಾ ಸಾಲ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇದೆ. ಸೊಸೈಟಿಗೆ ಪೇರ್ ಕ್ಯಾಪಿಟಲ್ ಹಾಕಲಕ್ಕೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಹಣವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದಿಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇದ್ದೇವೆ. ಅದರಮೇಲೆ ವರ್ಕಿಂಗ್ ಕ್ಯಾಪಿಟಲ್‌ಗೆ 3,50,000 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಯೋಚನೆಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೇವೆ. ಮಾರ್ಕೆಟಿಂಗ್ ಆಫ್ ಹ್ಯಾಂಡ್‌ಲೂಮ್ ಗೂಡ್ಸ್‌ಗೆ 60,000 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು. ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಆಫ್ ಇಂಡಿಯಾದವರು 2,50,000 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ರೆಬೇಟ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು, ಕಡಮೆ ಬೆಲೆಗೆ ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಒಂದು ಸ್ಕೀಂ ಸ್ಯಾಕ್ಷಿಪಡಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. Marketing handloom goods: Rs. 60,000; expansion of the existing research design centres: Rs. 30,000; transport facilities, marketing handloom goods: Rs. 21,000; publicity and propaganda, provision for direct supervision. ಈ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಸ್ಕೀಂಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಕಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ನಾರಾಯಣಗೌಡ.—ಈಗ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಲೋಕ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಲ್ಲ, ಅದು ಬಹಳ ಬೇಗ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸೇರುವಹಾಗಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಏನಾ ದರೂ ಯೋಚನೆಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ನಿಧೇಶ್ವರಪ್ಪ.—Yes. ಜಾಗೃತಿಯಾಗಿ ಅದು ಮಂಜೂರಾಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಏರ್ಪಾಟು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ವಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಚಿಕ್ಸ್‌ಟೈರ್ ಮಿಲ್ಸ್ ನವರು ತಮಗೆ ಇಷ್ಟಬಂದಂತೆ ಸ್ವತಂತ್ರವಾಗಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಬೇಕಾದರೂ ಚಿಕ್ಸ್‌ಟೈರ್ ಗೂಡ್ಸ್ ತಯಾರುಮಾಡ ಬಹುದೇ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Subject to the rules that are in force.